

## EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL THESIS

**Name of the student:**

**Title of the thesis:**

Evaluation framework	0	1	2	3	4	5
Contribution to the scientific field (originality of the research and significance to theory and/or practice)						
Candidate's own contribution in the research (and in joint papers, if included)						
Scientific quality of the research (research data, methods, analysis, validation)						
Theoretical background and critical use of literature in the field						
Quality of written presentation (structure, language, readability etc.)						
Oral presentation in the defense						

5 = excellent (*among top 5-10%*)

4 = very good

3 = good

2 = satisfactory

1 = sufficient

0 = fail

### Written statement

The opponent(s) is requested to write a free form statement (1-2 pages) of the thesis and attach it to this document. It may be written based on the evaluation framework above.

**Suggested overall grade (see page 2):**

Date and place: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name in block letters: \_\_\_\_\_

## **GRADING SCALE FOR DOCTORAL THESIS**

### **Excellent (5)**

The thesis provides unique contribution to knowledge in its own field. It shows very high scientific quality in terms of research methods and reporting.

### **Very Good (4)**

The thesis provides a significant contribution to knowledge in its own field. It shows high scientific quality in terms of research methods and reporting.

### **Good (3)**

The thesis meets well the expectations for a typical good doctoral thesis. It provides a rather significant contribution to knowledge in its own field. The research is well conducted in terms of research methods and reporting.

### **Satisfactory (2)**

The scientific contribution of the thesis has some significance to knowledge in its own field. The thesis demonstrates the author's ability to produce new scientific results. However, the ideas may not have fully matured. The research may include some clear weaknesses with scientific quality.

### **Sufficient (1)**

The thesis fulfills the minimal standards of acceptance, but the scientific contribution of the thesis is very limited in its own field or it may include some severe weaknesses with scientific quality.

### **Fail (0)**

The thesis does not fulfill the minimal standards of acceptance.