EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL THESIS					
Name of the student:					
Title of the thesis:					
Evaluation criteria	Fail	Pass	Pass with distinction		
Topic choice and positioning					
Research objectives and research questions					
Theoretical framework and background					
Methodological approach and data selection					
Data analysis and interpretation/implications					
Scientific significance and impact					
Author's independence					
Quality of writing and presentation					
Public defense					
Written statement					
The opponent(s) is requested to write a free form statement (1-2 pages) of the thesis based on the evaluation framework above and attach it to this document.					
Suggested overall grade (see pages 2-4):					
Date and place:					
Signature:					

Name in block letters:

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ FACULTY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

GRADING SCALE FOR DOCTORAL THESIS

All doctoral dissertations and licentiate theses are expected to comply with the responsible conduct of research and ethical principles.

Please note that a doctoral thesis is assessed as a whole, in which the importance of the different components of the assessment may vary. A grade of Pass with distinction will be awarded to dissertations that are considered to be in the top 10% of their discipline.

Evaluation criteria	Fail	Pass	Pass with distinction
Topic choice and	The topic is unclear or poorly	The topic is relevant and clearly	The topic is exceptionally
positioning	defined, or its selection has not	defined and delineated. The choice	important, innovative, and well-
	been sufficiently justified. The	of topic is sufficiently connected to	defined. It is clearly connected to
	choice of topic is not connected to	previous research in the	the scientific/research field and
	previous research in the	scientific/research field.	introduces contemporary
	scientific/research field.		perspectives.
Research objectives and	The research problem is not clearly	The research problem is clearly	The research problem is excellently
Research questions	defined.	defined. The research problem is	defined and innovative.
		connected to the theoretical	
	The research problem may conflict	framework.	The research problem shows a
	with the theoretical framework.		consistent and clear connection to
		The research questions are precise	the theoretical framework.
	The research questions are vague	and linked to the research	
	or not connected to the research	problem.	The research questions are
	problem.		extremely well-formulated and
			logically justified. They open new
			perspectives on the research
			problem.

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ FACULTY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Evaluation criteria	Fail	Pass	Pass with distinction
Theoretical framework and Background	The theoretical framework is incomplete, outdated, or irrelevant.	The theoretical framework is up- to-date and relevant, and it supports the research.	The theoretical framework is excellently constructed, diverse, and insightful.
Methodological approach and data selection	The methodological approach is unclear, lacks consistency, or is unsuitable for the research problem or data. Data selection is random, poorly justified, or inadequate for the objectives of the study.	The methodological approach is clearly defined and justified, and it is well-suited for addressing the research problem. The methodological approach is appropriate for the chosen data. Data selection is logically justified and sufficient for the needs of the research.	The methodological approach is excellently designed and supports the solution of the research problem in an innovative and consistent manner. The chosen methodological approach is exceptionally suitable for the data. Data selection is excellently justified, of high quality, and optimal for solving the research problem.
Data analysis and interpretation/implications	The data analysis is incomplete, distorted, or the conclusions are not based on the data.	The data analysis is logical and consistent. The conclusions of the study are based on the data.	The data analysis is profound, multidimensional, and innovative. The data-driven analysis provides insightful perspectives on the research problem.

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ FACULTY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Evaluation criteria	Fail	Pass	Pass with distinction
Scientific significance and impact	The research does not bring significant new knowledge to the scientific/research field.	The research produces new knowledge and contributes to the ongoing discussion in the scientific/research field.	The research provides a significant and innovative contribution to the scientific/research field.
Author's independence	The author's independent contribution has not been clearly demonstrated or is insufficient.	The author's independent contribution is clearly demonstrated and sufficient.	The author's independent contribution is clearly demonstrated and exceptionally significant.
	The research does not include the author's own critical reflection.	The research reflects the author's own critical thinking.	The research consistently reflects the author's critical thinking and research expertise.
Quality of writing and	The dissertation is poorly	The dissertation is clearly	The dissertation is of an excellent
presentation	structured or contains linguistic deficiencies.	structured and linguistically flawless.	standard in terms of structure and language.
Public defense	The candidate is unable to defend	The candidate performs acceptably	The candidate performs excellently
	the dissertation acceptably; the	in the defense and is able to	in the defense and demonstrates
	candidate does not demonstrate	demonstrate mastery of the	an exceptional mastery of the
	mastery of the research topic or the	research topic and the content of	research area and the content of
	content of the work.	the work.	the work.